**Act II**

1. Who do the jurors think changed his vote?

2. Who actually changed his vote? Why?

3. Why is FOUR convinced the woman’s testimony is so persuasive?

4. What does the discussion about the el train call into question about the old man’s testimony?

5. When NINE suggests that the old man may have lied, what explanation does he offer?

6. Why do you think FIVE changes his vote to not guilty?

7. How long did it take EIGHT to walk to the hall when he recreated the scene? Does that create doubt in your mind?

8. Do you think EIGHT deliberately provokes THREE into threatening to kill him? Explain.

9. How are the endings of Acts I and II similar?